



BARANGAY HIGH SCHOOL TEACHERS' EXPERIENCES IN TEACHING LABORATORY SCIENCE: BASIS FOR POLICY RECOMMENDATION

PATRIA ROSE S. POSSESSOR
TEACHER I

Binaba-An National High School
patriarosepossessor@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

This qualitative phenomenological study explored the lived experiences of barangay high school teachers in teaching laboratory science. The six participants were mostly early-career science teachers, with an average age of 29 years, and the majority were female. Most held entry-level positions, and their teaching experience ranged from one to eight years, reflecting varying familiarity with science instruction. Most had science-related degrees, while one held a degree in English, and their educational attainment ranged from the baccalaureate to the master's level, indicating diverse academic preparation that may influence teaching competence and professional development. Their experiences in teaching laboratory science were described as fun and enjoyable, meaningful, and challenging yet rewarding. The challenges they encountered included inadequate laboratory equipment and materials, limited laboratory facilities, and unprepared learners. To cope with these challenges, teachers used strategies such as the improvisation of materials, collaborative learning and group work, the use of technology and simulations, and advance preparation and strategic planning.

Editorial Team

Editor-in-Chief: Alvin B. Punongbayan

Associate Editor: Andro M. Bautista

Managing Editor: Raymart O. Basco

Web Editor: Nikko C. Panotes

Manuscript Editors / Reviewers:

Chin Wen Cong, Christopher DC. Francisco, Camille P. Alicaway, Pinky Jane A. Perez,
Mary Jane B. Custodio, Irene H. Andino, Mark-Jhon R. Prestoza, Ma. Rhoda E. Panganiban, Rjay C. Calaguas,
Mario A. Cudiamat, Jesson L. Hero, Albert Bulawat, Cris T. Zita, Allan M. Manaloto, Jerico N. Mendoza



Keywords: *Barangay High School, Teachers, Experiences, Teaching Laboratory Science, Policy Recommendation*

INTRODUCTION

Science education held a crucial role in developing learners’ scientific literacy, as well as enhancing their critical thinking and problem-solving abilities. Central to this process is laboratory science instruction, which offers students experiential learning opportunities through hands-on activities that bridge theoretical concepts with practical application.

However, the effective implementation of laboratory science in high school settings continues to be a complex educational concern, particularly in contexts where resources, training, and support systems are inconsistent.

Recent qualitative studies reveal that teachers’ lived experiences are essential in understanding the realities of classroom and laboratory instruction. For example, Rosas (2025) explored the lived experiences of junior high school science teachers implementing the spiral progression approach, noting that participants encountered difficulties such as limited learning resources and challenges in preparing lessons outside their specialized science subjects, highlighting deep instructional strains that shape daily practice. Similarly, Monta & Perdio (2025) investigated science teachers’ experiences in laboratory-based instruction in public schools in Bataan, Philippines, and found that resource limitations, lack of professional development, administrative support gaps, and time constraints were significant factors influencing teachers’ capacity to conduct meaningful laboratory activities.

Editorial Team

Editor-in-Chief: Alvin B. Punongbayan

Associate Editor: Andro M. Bautista

Managing Editor: Raymart O. Basco

Web Editor: Nikko C. Panotes

Manuscript Editors / Reviewers:

Chin Wen Cong, Christopher DC. Francisco, Camille P. Alicaway, Pinky Jane A. Perez,
Mary Jane B. Custodio, Irene H. Andino, Mark-Jhon R. Prestoza, Ma. Rhoda E. Panganiban, Rjay C. Calaguas,
Mario A. Cudiamat, Jesson L. Hero, Albert Bulawat, Cris T. Zita, Allan M. Manaloto, Jerico N. Mendoza



These findings echo broader educational research that positions teachers not merely as implementers of curriculum but as interpretive agents whose experiences deeply influence instructional practice and student learning outcomes.

Studies conducted within the Philippine educational context underscore persistent barriers that affect science teaching, especially in laboratory contexts.

A recent local study documented high school teachers' experiences and self-assessment while teaching biology, illustrating the ongoing need to understand teachers' perceptions and instructional reflections as part of strengthening science education.

Qualitative inquiry, particularly phenomenological approaches, has been widely used to capture the depth and nuance of teachers' experiences, allowing researchers to name, categorize, and interpret lived realities within educational practice.

Phenomenology enables insights into individual meaning-making and shared instructional phenomena, thus offering rich data for evidence-based policy recommendations.

Despite these contributions, the specific experiences of barangay high school teachers teaching laboratory science—a setting that often represents the frontlines of basic science education—remain underexplored.

Barriers such as insufficient laboratory facilities, limited access to science equipment, and lack of ongoing training and support may uniquely shape teachers' instructional choices and professional perceptions.

Teachers' narratives can illuminate how such factors affect not only their practice but also students' engagement with scientific inquiry and learning.

Editorial Team

Editor-in-Chief: Alvin B. Punongbayan

Associate Editor: Andro M. Bautista

Managing Editor: Raymart O. Basco

Web Editor: Nikko C. Panotes

Manuscript Editors / Reviewers:

Chin Wen Cong, Christopher DC. Francisco, Camille P. Alicaway, Pinky Jane A. Perez,
Mary Jane B. Custodio, Irene H. Andino, Mark-Jhon R. Prestoza, Ma. Rhoda E. Panganiban, Rjay C. Calaguas,
Mario A. Cudiamat, Jesson L. Hero, Albert Bulawat, Cris T. Zita, Allan M. Manaloto, Jerico N. Mendoza



Understanding these lived experiences is especially urgent given the heightened role of science education in national development goals, including fostering scientific literacy, enhancing STEM readiness, and improving educational equity across diverse school contexts. By documenting and analyzing teachers' experiences, this study aspired to contribute grounded, context-sensitive insights that can inform policy recommendations aimed at strengthening laboratory science instruction in barangay high schools, ultimately improving learning outcomes and professional support structures.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Research Methodology

This chapter presents the research method, research design, participants of the study, data-gathering procedures, research instrument, and data analysis used in this study. The purpose of this study is to explore the lived experiences of barangay high school teachers in teaching laboratory science and to use these experiences as a basis for policy recommendation.

Research Method

The research method employed in this study was the descriptive method within the framework of qualitative research, utilizing in-depth interviews as the primary data collection technique.

The descriptive research method focuses on systematically describing a phenomenon as it exists in its natural setting, without manipulating variables. According to Elliott (2025), it

Editorial Team

Editor-in-Chief: Alvin B. Punongbayan

Associate Editor: Andro M. Bautista

Managing Editor: Raymart O. Basco

Web Editor: Nikko C. Panotes

Manuscript Editors / Reviewers:

Chin Wen Cong, Christopher DC. Francisco, Camille P. Alicaway, Pinky Jane A. Perez,
Mary Jane B. Custodio, Irene H. Andino, Mark-Jhon R. Prestoza, Ma. Rhoda E. Panganiban, Rjay C. Calaguas,
Mario A. Cudiamat, Jesson L. Hero, Albert Bulawat, Cris T. Zita, Allan M. Manaloto, Jerico N. Mendoza



aims to provide an accurate portrayal of current conditions, practices, or relationships within educational settings, enabling researchers to understand trends, patterns, and implications for practice. This approach is particularly useful in educational studies that seek to document and analyze real-world events, behaviors, or perceptions without altering the environment in which they occur (Elliott, 2025).

During the interview, the interviewer and the interviewee were allowed to sit together at a distance and reflect on a series of questions regarding a specific issue. The purpose was to capture the main or essential perspectives of the participants on a particular matter within a social context through their responses to the questions.

Research Design

The study employed a phenomenological research design. Phenomenology is considered a philosophical approach to conducting qualitative research. The goal of phenomenology was to understand how individuals perceive the world and how these perceptions may differ from commonly held views by focusing on a person's subjective interpretations of their experiences. Phenomenology was carried out by interviewing the subjects to learn about their impressions and was frequently applied in fields such as psychology, sociology, and social work.

Phenomenology concentrated on examining the structures of consciousness as they were experienced from a first-person perspective. The central aim of phenomenology was to investigate and describe phenomena exactly as they were consciously experienced, without

Editorial Team

Editor-in-Chief: Alvin B. Punongbayan

Associate Editor: Andro M. Bautista

Managing Editor: Raymart O. Basco

Web Editor: Nikko C. Panotes

Manuscript Editors / Reviewers:

Chin Wen Cong, Christopher DC. Francisco, Camille P. Alicaway, Pinky Jane A. Perez,
Mary Jane B. Custodio, Irene H. Andino, Mark-Jhon R. Prestoza, Ma. Rhoda E. Panganiban, Rjay C. Calaguas,
Mario A. Cudiamat, Jesson L. Hero, Albert Bulawat, Cris T. Zita, Allan M. Manaloto, Jerico N. Mendoza



relying on theories to explain their causes or being influenced by unexamined preconceptions (Biemel & Spiegelberg, 2024).

Participants of the Study

In this study, participants were six (6) barangay high school teachers who are currently teaching laboratory science subjects from the district of Lambunao East.

These participants must be a science teacher currently teaching in the District with permanent status. They have at least one year of experience in teaching laboratory science. They must be willing to participate in an interview and share their experiences.

Sampling Design

The study employed a purposive sampling design. According to Nikolopoulou (2023), purposive sampling is a type of non-probability sampling technique in which units are selected because they possess specific characteristics needed for the study. In other words, the units are chosen deliberately or "on purpose." This method is also referred to as judgmental sampling, as it relies on the researcher's judgment to identify and select the individuals, cases, or events that are most likely to provide the relevant information necessary to achieve the study's objectives.

Research Instrument

The research instrument used in the study was a researcher-made interview schedule.

In research methodology, an interview schedule is a written list of pre-planned questions—structured, semi-structured, or open-ended—designed to guide the interviewer in collecting information consistently from participants. It serves as a standardized tool for data

Editorial Team

Editor-in-Chief: Alvin B. Punongbayan

Associate Editor: Andro M. Bautista

Managing Editor: Raymart O. Basco

Web Editor: Nikko C. Panotes

Manuscript Editors / Reviewers:

Chin Wen Cong, Christopher DC. Francisco, Camille P. Alicaway, Pinky Jane A. Perez,
Mary Jane B. Custodio, Irene H. Andino, Mark-Jhon R. Prestoza, Ma. Rhoda E. Panganiban, Rjay C. Calaguas,
Mario A. Cudiamat, Jesson L. Hero, Albert Bulawat, Cris T. Zita, Allan M. Manaloto, Jerico N. Mendoza



collection, ensuring that the same topics and questions are addressed in all interviews to support systematic comparison and analysis. The interviewer followed the schedule during face-to-face, telephone, or electronic interviews, asking each participant the predetermined questions and recording their responses (Socio.health, 2024).

The interview schedule is composed of (2) parts focusing on the purpose of the study.

Part 1, demographic profile of the participants, which captures the details such as the name, which is optional, age, sex, civil status, teaching position, grade level assignment, years in service as science teacher and educational qualification.

Part 2, Interview guide which is composed of 3 questions focusing on the experiences of teachers in teaching laboratory science, the challenges they encountered in teaching laboratory science, and the strategies used by teachers in coping with the challenges.

Voice and video recorders were also used for data collection and documentation, depending on the consent of the participants.

Validity of the Research Instrument

Before determining the validity of the researcher-made interview schedule, the instrument was first reviewed by the researcher's adviser and the Dean of the Graduate School. Subsequently, a panel of jurors, selected for their expertise in research, testing and assessment, and English, was asked to validate each question. Their feedback was used for careful review and necessary modifications to ensure the instrument's validity.

Validity refers to the extent to which the findings, interpretations, and conclusions derived from a study are accurate, meaningful, and appropriate in representing the concept

Editorial Team

Editor-in-Chief: Alvin B. Punongbayan

Associate Editor: Andro M. Bautista

Managing Editor: Raymart O. Basco

Web Editor: Nikko C. Panotes

Manuscript Editors / Reviewers:

Chin Wen Cong, Christopher DC. Francisco, Camille P. Alicaway, Pinky Jane A. Perez,
Mary Jane B. Custodio, Irene H. Andino, Mark-Jhon R. Prestoza, Ma. Rhoda E. Panganiban, Rjay C. Calaguas,
Mario A. Cudiamat, Jesson L. Hero, Albert Bulawat, Cris T. Zita, Allan M. Manaloto, Jerico N. Mendoza



being examined. It ensures that the research instrument truly measures what it is intended to measure and that the results are credible reflections of reality. In establishing content validity, the questions and format of the instrument must align with the study's defined variables and objectives to guarantee that each item accurately reflects the construction under investigation. This process often involves expert review to determine whether the items are relevant, clear, and representative of the concepts being studied. By ensuring that the content and structure of the instrument are consistent with the study's framework, researchers enhance the accuracy and usefulness of the data collected in relation to the research objective (Creswell & Creswell, 2023).

Comments, corrections, and suggestions provided by the panel of validators regarding the interview schedule were carefully considered, following the appropriate criteria established by Good and Scates (1972), as cited by Soqueña (2021).

Data Gathering Procedures

Permits were obtained from the adviser, the Dean of the Graduate School, the Office of the Schools Division Superintendent, the Office of the District Supervisors, the School Heads, and the individual participants to allow the researcher to conduct the study.

The researcher personally visited the schools, communities, or locations convenient for the participants to carry out the interviews. The researcher encouraged the participants to sign a waiver or permission form concerning their participation in the study.

Editorial Team

Editor-in-Chief: Alvin B. Punongbayan

Associate Editor: Andro M. Bautista

Managing Editor: Raymart O. Basco

Web Editor: Nikko C. Panotes

Manuscript Editors / Reviewers:

Chin Wen Cong, Christopher DC. Francisco, Camille P. Alicaway, Pinky Jane A. Perez,
Mary Jane B. Custodio, Irene H. Andino, Mark-Jhon R. Prestoza, Ma. Rhoda E. Panganiban, Rjay C. Calaguas,
Mario A. Cudiamat, Jesson L. Hero, Albert Bulawat, Cris T. Zita, Allan M. Manaloto, Jerico N. Mendoza



Using in-depth interviews, voice and video recorders were also utilized to fully capture the participants' responses. After completing the series of interviews, the researcher consolidated all collected data for further analysis.

Data Analysis

The data were collected through the interview schedule that were analyzed using thematic analysis, a qualitative method designed to identify, interpret, and report recurring patterns or themes within narrative data. This approach enables the researcher to uncover meaningful insights into the responses of the participants on their profile as Science Teachers, their experiences in teaching laboratory science, the challenges they encountered teaching laboratory science, and the strategies used by teachers in coping with the challenges.

According to Braun and Clarke (2023), thematic analysis provides a flexible yet rigorous framework for analyzing qualitative data, allowing researchers to identify both explicit and implicit meanings across participants' narratives. It was particularly suitable for educational research that aimed to understand complex social and organizational dynamics. Nowell et al. (2021) further emphasized that thematic analysis enhanced transparency and credibility in qualitative studies by ensuring a systematic approach to coding and interpreting data.

The transcribed data from the interviews were analyzed using Thematic Analysis (Braun & Clarke, 2023), which was well-suited for identifying, analyzing, and reporting patterns (themes) within qualitative data.

Editorial Team

Editor-in-Chief: Alvin B. Punongbayan

Associate Editor: Andro M. Bautista

Managing Editor: Raymart O. Basco

Web Editor: Nikko C. Panotes

Manuscript Editors / Reviewers:

Chin Wen Cong, Christopher DC. Francisco, Camille P. Alicaway, Pinky Jane A. Perez,
Mary Jane B. Custodio, Irene H. Andino, Mark-Jhon R. Prestoza, Ma. Rhoda E. Panganiban, Rjay C. Calaguas,
Mario A. Cudiamat, Jesson L. Hero, Albert Bulawat, Cris T. Zita, Allan M. Manaloto, Jerico N. Mendoza



The analysis followed the standard six-phase process. (1) Familiarization with Data: The researcher read and re-read the interview transcripts, both in the local language and their English translations, to become thoroughly familiar with the content; (2) Generating Initial Codes: Short phrases or labels were assigned to meaningful segments of data, such as "shared phone," "fear of judgment," and "poor signal," to highlight significant points; (3) Searching for Themes: The initial codes were grouped into potential overarching themes and sub-themes that captured recurring patterns; for example, codes like "no insult" and "private correction" were combined under a theme representing a broader concept; (4) Reviewing Themes: The researcher refined and checked the identified themes against the entire dataset to ensure they accurately reflected the participants' intended meanings and aligned with the focus of the study; (5) Defining and Naming Themes: Clear, concise, and academically sound names were developed for the final emergent themes, which were later presented in Chapter 4; and (6) Producing the Report: The researcher integrated the themes, supported by direct quotes from participants, into the narrative structure of the data presentation, analysis, and interpretation in Chapter 4, while linking the findings to the theoretical framework.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

This study sought to examine the lived experiences of barangay high school teachers who taught laboratory science in the Lambunao East District during the 2025–2026 school year. A qualitative phenomenological research design was utilized, involving six teachers as participants. Data were gathered through a researcher-made interview questionnaire, which

Editorial Team

Editor-in-Chief: Alvin B. Punongbayan

Associate Editor: Andro M. Bautista

Managing Editor: Raymart O. Basco

Web Editor: Nikko C. Panotes

Manuscript Editors / Reviewers:

Chin Wen Cong, Christopher DC. Francisco, Camille P. Alicaway, Pinky Jane A. Perez,
Mary Jane B. Custodio, Irene H. Andino, Mark-Jhon R. Prestoza, Ma. Rhoda E. Panganiban, Rjay C. Calaguas,
Mario A. Cudiamat, Jesson L. Hero, Albert Bulawat, Cris T. Zita, Allan M. Manaloto, Jerico N. Mendoza



underwent validation by a panel of experts using the criteria established by Good and Scates (1972), as cited by Soqueña (2021). The researcher secured the necessary permits from the appropriate authorities and conducted in-depth interviews at locations that were convenient for the participants. The collected data were then consolidated, analyzed, and interpreted using a thematic approach to extract significant insights from the participants' experiences.

The following were the findings of the study:

The six participants were mostly early-career science teachers, with an average age of 29 years, and the majority were female. Most held entry-level positions, and their teaching experience ranged from one to eight years, reflecting varying levels of familiarity with science instruction. Most had science-related degrees, while one held a degree in English, and their educational attainment ranged from the baccalaureate to the master's level, indicating diverse academic preparation that may affect teaching competence and professional development.

Based on the results of the in-depth interviews with the participants, it was found that the experiences of teachers in teaching laboratory science were fun and enjoyable teaching experiences, meaningful learning experiences, and challenging but rewarding teaching experiences.

It was also found that the challenges they encountered in teaching laboratory science included inadequate laboratory equipment and materials, limited laboratory facilities, and unprepared learners.

The strategies used by teachers in coping with the challenges in teaching laboratory science, based on the results of the in-depth interviews with the participants, included the

Editorial Team

Editor-in-Chief: Alvin B. Punongbayan

Associate Editor: Andro M. Bautista

Managing Editor: Raymart O. Basco

Web Editor: Nikko C. Panotes

Manuscript Editors / Reviewers:

Chin Wen Cong, Christopher DC. Francisco, Camille P. Alicaway, Pinky Jane A. Perez,
Mary Jane B. Custodio, Irene H. Andino, Mark-Jhon R. Prestoza, Ma. Rhoda E. Panganiban, Rjay C. Calaguas,
Mario A. Cudiamat, Jesson L. Hero, Albert Bulawat, Cris T. Zita, Allan M. Manaloto, Jerico N. Mendoza



improvisation of materials, collaborative learning and group work, the use of technology and simulations, and advance preparation and strategic planning.

Based on the findings, the following insights were drawn:

The participants are mostly early-career, entry-level science teachers, predominantly female, with varying teaching experience and academic backgrounds. Their youth and enthusiasm support innovative teaching and student engagement, but differences in experience and qualifications may affect their confidence, content mastery, and pedagogical competence, thereby highlighting areas for professional development.

Teaching laboratory science offers teachers a dynamic and engaging professional experience. It allows them to interact closely with students, facilitate hands-on learning, and observe students' curiosity and understanding develop in real time, which contributes to a sense of fulfillment and professional satisfaction. At the same time, this practice requires careful planning, problem-solving, and adaptability to navigate challenges such as limited resources, varying student preparedness, and complex experiments.

Teaching laboratory science in these schools is often hindered by limited equipment, inadequate facilities, and students' unfamiliarity with laboratory procedures, which restrict hands-on learning and active participation. These challenges require teachers to be creative, plan strategically, and provide extra guidance to ensure meaningful engagement with scientific concepts.

Teachers employ a variety of adaptive approaches to maintain effective science instruction despite the challenges they face. These strategies enable them to sustain student

Editorial Team

Editor-in-Chief: Alvin B. Punongbayan

Associate Editor: Andro M. Bautista

Managing Editor: Raymart O. Basco

Web Editor: Nikko C. Panotes

Manuscript Editors / Reviewers:

Chin Wen Cong, Christopher DC. Francisco, Camille P. Alicaway, Pinky Jane A. Perez,
Mary Jane B. Custodio, Irene H. Andino, Mark-Jhon R. Prestoza, Ma. Rhoda E. Panganiban, Rjay C. Calaguas,
Mario A. Cudiamat, Jesson L. Hero, Albert Bulawat, Cris T. Zita, Allan M. Manaloto, Jerico N. Mendoza



engagement and facilitate understanding of complex concepts. Thoughtful planning and anticipation of potential obstacles further allow teachers to maximize instructional time and ensure that learning objectives are met. Collectively, these practices reflect resilience, flexibility, and a commitment to providing meaningful, hands-on learning experiences even in resource-constrained environments.

CONCLUSION

Taking into account the findings and insights that have emerged in this study, the following recommendations are proposed:

Targeted professional development programs should be provided to support early-career science teachers in strengthening their content knowledge, pedagogical skills, and classroom management strategies. Mentorship opportunities with experienced educators, workshops on laboratory instruction, and collaborative learning communities may help bridge gaps in experience and qualifications, enhance confidence in teaching, and promote the effective implementation of innovative and engaging science lessons.

Teachers should be provided with training and support to enhance their planning, problem-solving, and adaptive teaching skills in laboratory settings. Providing access to practical resources, structured lesson guides, and collaborative planning sessions may help teachers manage challenges effectively, maximize student engagement, and ensure that hands-on learning remains meaningful and rewarding.

Editorial Team

Editor-in-Chief: Alvin B. Punongbayan

Associate Editor: Andro M. Bautista

Managing Editor: Raymart O. Basco

Web Editor: Nikko C. Panotes

Manuscript Editors / Reviewers:

Chin Wen Cong, Christopher DC. Francisco, Camille P. Alicaway, Pinky Jane A. Perez,
Mary Jane B. Custodio, Irene H. Andino, Mark-Jhon R. Prestoza, Ma. Rhoda E. Panganiban, Rjay C. Calaguas,
Mario A. Cudiamat, Jesson L. Hero, Albert Bulawat, Cris T. Zita, Allan M. Manaloto, Jerico N. Mendoza



Schools should improve access to laboratory equipment and facilities while also providing teachers with training on how to prepare students for laboratory activities. Supplementing limited resources with improvised materials, guided demonstrations, and step-by-step orientations may help students gain confidence and actively participate, ensuring that hands-on learning remains safe, engaging, and effective.

Teachers should be supported in developing adaptive teaching strategies through professional development programs focused on resourceful instruction, lesson planning, and the use of technology or alternative materials. Encouraging collaboration among teachers and the sharing of best practices may further enhance resilience and flexibility, enabling them to provide consistent, meaningful, and engaging laboratory experiences even in resource-limited settings.

For policymakers, the study recommends the formulation of policies that provide equitable access to laboratory resources for all barangay high schools and the implementation of professional development programs focused on laboratory pedagogy, safety, and innovation. Partnerships with universities, industries, and NGOs may further support resource-limited schools by providing equipment, training, and technical assistance.

Future research may explore students' perspectives on laboratory science experiences, assess the impact of hands-on teaching on academic performance and interest in science, and evaluate the long-term effects of policy interventions on laboratory teaching and learning outcomes.

Editorial Team

Editor-in-Chief: Alvin B. Punongbayan

Associate Editor: Andro M. Bautista

Managing Editor: Raymart O. Basco

Web Editor: Nikko C. Panotes

Manuscript Editors / Reviewers:

Chin Wen Cong, Christopher DC. Francisco, Camille P. Alicaway, Pinky Jane A. Perez,
Mary Jane B. Custodio, Irene H. Andino, Mark-Jhon R. Prestoza, Ma. Rhoda E. Panganiban, Rjay C. Calaguas,
Mario A. Cudiamat, Jesson L. Hero, Albert Bulawat, Cris T. Zita, Allan M. Manaloto, Jerico N. Mendoza



References

- Akerson, V.L., Abd-El-Khalick, F., & Lederman, N.G. (2021). Learning from Experience: Teachers' Reflections on Implementing Laboratory-based Science Instruction. *Journal of Science Teacher Education*, 32(5), 497–516. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1046560X.2021.1915567>.
- Akerson, V.L., Pongsanon, K., & Donnelly, L. (2021). Effective Planning and Preparation in Science Teaching: Strategies for Laboratory and Inquiry-based Instruction. *Journal of Science Teacher Education*, 32(3), 215–234. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1046560X.2021.1895032>.
- American Psychological Association (2023). APA dictionary of psychology (3rd ed.). American Psychological Association. <https://dictionary.apa.org>.
- Bembich, C. (2025). Recognizing Patterns of Authentic Inquiry-based Approaches in Science Education. *Frontiers in Education*, Article 1574267.
- Biemel, W. & Spiegelberg, H. (2024). Phenomenology. The Editors of Encyclopedia Britannica. Downloaded from <https://www.britannica.com/topic/phenomenology> on January 26, 2025.
- Braun, V. & Clarke, V. (2023). Thematic analysis: a step-by-step guide. SAGE Publications.
- Calo, J.P. & De Vera, L.M. (2025). Secondary School Science Teachers' Perspectives on the Quality of Science Education. *Journal of Regional Education Perspectives*, 14(1), 45–59.
- Challenges and Coping Strategies of Science Teachers. (2023). *Canadian Journal of Educational and Social Studies*, 3(4), 148–166.

Editorial Team

Editor-in-Chief: Alvin B. Punongbayan

Associate Editor: Andro M. Bautista

Managing Editor: Raymart O. Basco

Web Editor: Nikko C. Panotes

Manuscript Editors / Reviewers:

Chin Wen Cong, Christopher DC. Francisco, Camille P. Alicaway, Pinky Jane A. Perez, Mary Jane B. Custodio, Irene H. Andino, Mark-Jhon R. Prestoza, Ma. Rhoda E. Panganiban, Rjay C. Calaguas, Mario A. Cudiamat, Jesson L. Hero, Albert Bulawat, Cris T. Zita, Allan M. Manaloto, Jerico N. Mendoza



Colaler, N.B., Mirando, M.M.L., Perez, L.E., Recoco, R.P., Rivera, S.A.B., & Rubio, C.M.S.

(2025). Evaluation of Science Laboratories on Academic Engagement. *International Journal of Research and Innovation in Social Science*, 2136–2163. <https://doi.org/10.47772/IJRISS.2025.903SEDU0166>.

Colegado, J.C. (2025). Digital Innovations in Science Education in the Philippines: A Scoping Review of Teaching Practices and Tools. *International Journal of Research and Innovation in Social Science*. <https://doi.org/10.47772/IJRISS.2025.903SEDU0479>.

Creswell, J.W. & Creswell, J.D. (2023). *Research design: qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches* (6th ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE Publications.

Delos Reyes, M.S. (2024). Resilience and Adaptive Teaching Strategies Among Science Teachers in Multi-grade Classrooms. *Journal of Educational Leadership and Management*, 9(2), 78–92.

Department of Education (2024). *Annual report on public schools in the Philippines*.

Elms-Ikwegbu, H.N. (2024). Comparative Analysis of Educational Policy Formulation and Implementation Strategies in Developed and Developing Countries. *International Journal of Research and Scientific Innovation*.

Elliott, J. (2025). Everyday Voices as Big Data: A Call for the Secondary Analysis of Large-Scale Qualitative Interview Data. *Sociology*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00380385251344472>.

Editorial Team

Editor-in-Chief: Alvin B. Punongbayan

Associate Editor: Andro M. Bautista

Managing Editor: Raymart O. Basco

Web Editor: Nikko C. Panotes

Manuscript Editors / Reviewers:

Chin Wen Cong, Christopher DC. Francisco, Camille P. Alicaway, Pinky Jane A. Perez, Mary Jane B. Custodio, Irene H. Andino, Mark-Jhon R. Prestoza, Ma. Rhoda E. Panganiban, Rjay C. Calaguas, Mario A. Cudiamat, Jesson L. Hero, Albert Bulawat, Cris T. Zita, Allan M. Manaloto, Jerico N. Mendoza



Fernandez, R.T., Navarro, J.L., & Castillo, P.R. (2025). Virtual Laboratories in Rural Science Education: Opportunities and Challenges. *Education and Information Technologies, 30*(1), 1023–1040.

Galasso, F., Teacher 40, Teacher 21, & Teacher 5 (2025). Curriculum and Instructional Challenges in Science Laboratory Implementation. *International Journal of Science, Architecture, Technology, and Environment, 2*(5).

Gillies, R.M. (2023). Using Cooperative Learning to Enhance Students' Learning and Engagement During Inquiry-based Science. *Education Sciences, 13*(12), Article 1242. <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci13121242>.

Gumilao, M. (2025). Leveraging Technology and Research to Shape Educational Policy. *Journal of Educational Policy and Development, 12*(1), 45–60.

International Journal of Science and Management Studies. (2025). Inquiry-based Laboratory Teaching and Student Learning Outcomes. *IJMS, 8*(2), 119–135.

International Journal of Technology in Education and Science (2023). Practices and Challenges of Teachers in Science Instruction. <https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/EJ1400468.pdf>.

Johnson, D.W. & Johnson, R.T. (2021). Cooperation and the use of collaborative learning in education: theory and practice (3rd ed.). Edina Press.

Junruksar, S. & Chuton, J. (2025). Enhancing Science Learning through a Constructivist Pedagogy. *Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Social Innovation, 1*(3), 64–73.

Khan, R. & Singh, A. (2024). Barriers to Inquiry-based Laboratory Teaching in High School Physics. *Physics Education Research, 18*(3), 215–230.

Editorial Team

Editor-in-Chief: Alvin B. Punongbayan

Associate Editor: Andro M. Bautista

Managing Editor: Raymart O. Basco

Web Editor: Nikko C. Panotes

Manuscript Editors / Reviewers:

Chin Wen Cong, Christopher DC. Francisco, Camille P. Alicaway, Pinky Jane A. Perez, Mary Jane B. Custodio, Irene H. Andino, Mark-Jhon R. Prestoza, Ma. Rhoda E. Panganiban, Rjay C. Calaguas, Mario A. Cudiamat, Jesson L. Hero, Albert Bulawat, Cris T. Zita, Allan M. Manaloto, Jerico N. Mendoza



Kuru, M.E. & Eryaman, M.Y. (2025). A Systematic Review of Research-informed Educational Policy and Practice in Turkey. *Educational Policy Analysis and Strategic Research*, 20(2), 58–73.

Lazaro, J.M.V. & Paglinawan, J.L. (2025). Laboratory Resource Availability and Students' Engagement in Science. *International Journal of Research and Innovation in Applied Science*, 146–153. <https://doi.org/10.51584/IJRIAS.2024.912015>.

Magtulis, G.P. & Brunio, S.S. (2025). Challenges and Coping Strategies of Science Teachers Handling Non-specialized Subjects. *Psychology and Education: A Multidisciplinary Journal*, 40(8), 1105–1121. <https://doi.org/10.70838/pemj.400808>.

Makhmetova, A., Kim, J., & Lopez, M. (2025). The Impact of Professional Development on In-service Science Teachers' Instructional Practices: A Systematic Review. *Education Sciences*, 15(2), 146.

Mangarin, R.A. & Macayana, L.B. (2024). Why Schools Lack Laboratory and Equipment in Science? Through the Lense of Research Studies. 2835-2840. DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.47772/IJRISS.2024.8100238>.

Merriam, S.B, & Tisdell, E.J. (2024). *Qualitative research: a guide to design and implementation* (4th ed.). Jossey-Bass.

Monta, J.A. & Perdio, R.J. (2025). Laboratory-based Science Instruction in Public Schools: Insights from Teachers' Experiences and Implications for Contextualized Interventions in Bataan, Philippines. *Philippine Journal of Science Education*, 7(2), 23–39.

Editorial Team

Editor-in-Chief: Alvin B. Punongbayan

Associate Editor: Andro M. Bautista

Managing Editor: Raymart O. Basco

Web Editor: Nikko C. Panotes

Manuscript Editors / Reviewers:

Chin Wen Cong, Christopher DC. Francisco, Camille P. Alicaway, Pinky Jane A. Perez,
Mary Jane B. Custodio, Irene H. Andino, Mark-Jhon R. Prestoza, Ma. Rhoda E. Panganiban, Rjay C. Calaguas,
Mario A. Cudiamat, Jesson L. Hero, Albert Bulawat, Cris T. Zita, Allan M. Manaloto, Jerico N. Mendoza



National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine (2025). Science Education and Workforce Development: Data-driven Strategies for Improvement. National Academies Press.

National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine (2021). Call to Action for Science Education: Building Opportunity for the Future. *The National Academies Press*. <https://doi.org/10.17226/26152>.

National Research Council (2025). A Framework for K-12 Science Education: Practices, Crosscutting Concepts, and Core Ideas (Revised ed.). The National Academies Press.

Navarro, C., Arias-Calderón, M., Henríquez, C.A., & Riquelme, P.R. (2024). Assessment of Student and Teacher Perceptions on the Use of Virtual Simulation in Cell Biology Laboratory Education. *Education Sciences*, 14(3), 243. <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci14030243>.

Ngendabanga, C., Nkurunziza, J.B., & Mugabo, L.R. (2025). Innovative Approaches in Chemistry Teaching: A Systematic Review on the Use of Improvised Chemicals for Student Engagement and Performance. *Chemistry Education Research and Practice*, 26, 566–577. <https://doi.org/10.1039/D4RP00302K>.

Nikolopoulou, K. (2023). Students' Mobile Phone Practices for Academic Purposes: Strengthening Post-Pandemic University Digitalization. *Sustainability*, 14(22), 14958. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su142214958>.

Editorial Team

Editor-in-Chief: Alvin B. Punongbayan

Associate Editor: Andro M. Bautista

Managing Editor: Raymart O. Basco

Web Editor: Nikko C. Panotes

Manuscript Editors / Reviewers:

Chin Wen Cong, Christopher DC. Francisco, Camille P. Alicaway, Pinky Jane A. Perez, Mary Jane B. Custodio, Irene H. Andino, Mark-Jhon R. Prestoza, Ma. Rhoda E. Panganiban, Rjay C. Calaguas, Mario A. Cudiamat, Jesson L. Hero, Albert Bulawat, Cris T. Zita, Allan M. Manaloto, Jerico N. Mendoza



Nowell, L.S., Norris, J.M., White, D.E., & Moules, N.J. (2021). Thematic Analysis: Striving to Meet the Trustworthiness Criteria. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods*, 20, 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1609406921995300>.

Ogunyemi, B. & Adeniran, R. (2022). Laboratory Science Teaching in Resource-constrained Schools: Teachers' Perspectives. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 59(6), 823–840. <https://doi.org/10.1002/tea.21782>.

Orejon, D. (2025). Online Preparation for Enhanced Practice, Confidence and Safety in Laboratory Learning. *Journal of Chemical Education Research*, 82(4), 112–123.

Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (2023). Innovating Education and Educating for Innovation: The Power of Digital Technologies and Skills. OECD Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1787/829d6a8c-en>.

Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (2025). Education Policy Outlook 2025: Nurturing engaged and resilient lifelong learners in a world of digital transformation. OECD Publishing.

Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (2023). PISA 2022 Results (Volume I): The State of Learning and Equity in Education. OECD Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1787/53f23881-en>.

Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (2025). Who cares about using education research in policy and practice? OECD Publishing.

Padolina, C. (2024). Challenges in Laboratory Science Teaching among Pagadian City Science Majors. *Journal of Educational Science Studies*, 12(1), 55–70.

Editorial Team

Editor-in-Chief: Alvin B. Punongbayan

Associate Editor: Andro M. Bautista

Managing Editor: Raymart O. Basco

Web Editor: Nikko C. Panotes

Manuscript Editors / Reviewers:

Chin Wen Cong, Christopher DC. Francisco, Camille P. Alicaway, Pinky Jane A. Perez, Mary Jane B. Custodio, Irene H. Andino, Mark-Jhon R. Prestoza, Ma. Rhoda E. Panganiban, Rjay C. Calaguas, Mario A. Cudiamat, Jesson L. Hero, Albert Bulawat, Cris T. Zita, Allan M. Manaloto, Jerico N. Mendoza



Rabanes, L.L. & Paglinawan, J.L. (2025). Instructional Materials Availability and Learner's Engagement in Science. *International Journal of Research and Scientific Innovation*, 1265–1278. <https://doi.org/10.51244/IJRSI.2025.120600103>.

Remo, R.M. & Marcia, A.V. (2025). Teachers' Demographic Profile and Learning Resource Management Skills: Implications for Teaching Practice. *Psychology and Education: A Multidisciplinary Journal*, 34(6), 720–728.

Remperas, R.N. (2025). Teachers' Challenges and Best Practices on Laboratory Approach Implementation in Science Education. *International Journal of Science, Applied Technology, and Education*, 2(5), 117–130.

Rosas, M. (2025). Lived Experiences of Junior High School Science Teachers Implementing the Spiral Progression Approach. *Journal of Educational Studies*, 13(1), 12–27.

Royal Society of Chemistry Education Policy Report (2025). Science Teaching Staff Struggle to Deliver Practical Lessons. <https://www.rsc.org/news/science-teaching-survey-2025-news-article>.

Santos, L. & Cruz, M. (2024). Senior High School Biology Teachers' Self-assessment and Experiences. *Journal of Educational and Social Research*, 14(4), 101–115.

Smetana, L.K. & Bell, R.L. (2021). Computer Simulations to Support Science Instruction and Learning: Current Trends and Future Directions. *Journal of Science Education and Technology*, 30(2), 125–140. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10956-020-09885-9>.

Socio.health (2024). Interview Schedule. In *Research Methodology in Population and Family Health Studies* (p. n.p.). Downloaded from <https://socio.health/research-methodology->

Editorial Team

Editor-in-Chief: Alvin B. Punongbayan

Associate Editor: Andro M. Bautista

Managing Editor: Raymart O. Basco

Web Editor: Nikko C. Panotes

Manuscript Editors / Reviewers:

Chin Wen Cong, Christopher DC. Francisco, Camille P. Alicaway, Pinky Jane A. Perez, Mary Jane B. Custodio, Irene H. Andino, Mark-Jhon R. Prestoza, Ma. Rhoda E. Panganiban, Rjay C. Calaguas, Mario A. Cudiamat, Jesson L. Hero, Albert Bulawat, Cris T. Zita, Allan M. Manaloto, Jerico N. Mendoza



population-family-health/interview-schedules-collect-detailed-data/?utm_source=chatgpt.com#google_vignette on January 5, 2026.

Soqueña, A.C. (2021). Computer Self-Efficacy, Information Technology (IT) Competency and Teaching Effectiveness of Teachers Among Higher Education Institutions. *Turkish Online Journal of Qualitative Inquiry*, 12(6), 5582-5600.

Stolk, J., Cooper, M.M., & Van der Vleuten, C.P.M. (2021). Do Educators' Demographic Characteristics Influence Teaching Effectiveness? *Education Sciences*, 11(4), 487. <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci11040487>.

Talafian, H., Lundsgaard, M., Mahmood, M.S., Kuo, E., & Stelzer, T. (2025). Teachers' Experiences with Taking an Open-ended Approach in Teaching Labs in High School Physics Classes. *Physical Review Physics Education Research*, 21(1), Article 010140.

Tantog, A.J D., Naparan, G.B., Hiyan, K.P., Ortega, J.C., Algara, G.L.G., & Catubig, D.R. (2025). Exploring the Experiences of the BSED Major in Science Students in the Use of Laboratory Facilities and Equipment. *Journal of Elementary and Secondary School (JESS)*, 3(2). <https://doi.org/10.31098/jess.v3i2.2963>.

United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (2022). Reimagining our Futures Together: A New Social Contract for Education. UNESCO. <https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000380468>.

Villarroel, H.V., Castillo, R.I., & Santana, A.J. (2025). Applying Kolb's Experiential Learning Cycle for Deep Learning: A Systematic Literature Review. *Social Sciences & Humanities Open*, Article 102096. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssaho.2025.102096>.

Editorial Team

Editor-in-Chief: Alvin B. Punongbayan

Associate Editor: Andro M. Bautista

Managing Editor: Raymart O. Basco

Web Editor: Nikko C. Panotes

Manuscript Editors / Reviewers:

Chin Wen Cong, Christopher DC. Francisco, Camille P. Alicaway, Pinky Jane A. Perez, Mary Jane B. Custodio, Irene H. Andino, Mark-Jhon R. Prestoza, Ma. Rhoda E. Panganiban, Rjay C. Calaguas, Mario A. Cudiamat, Jesson L. Hero, Albert Bulawat, Cris T. Zita, Allan M. Manaloto, Jerico N. Mendoza
